

SECRET

of 70.0610

17 April 1970
SG 70/121


MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Briefing by Department of Justice on Organized
Crime Computer System

1. Mr. Gerald Shur of the Organized Crime and Racketeering Section telephoned undersigned the week of 7 April to ask some questions about input devices and a NASA software package called RECON [redacted] of SG was able to provide Shur with the name and telephone number of the NASA official who could answer questions on RECON.) Mr. Shur invited undersigned, [redacted] of CI Staff to Main Justice for a briefing on his system. He said he would clear the briefing with NSA, and subsequently said that NSA had agreed to a CIA "audit" of the development of his system. (NSA had apparently agreed to such audits when Justice decided to go the NSA route (see Para. 3 below) rather than the CIA route after initial briefings two years ago.)

2. The briefing was held on 13 April 1970 in room 2730 Main Justice and was given by Mr. Shur and his systems analyst Dick Stafiej, who joined Mr. Shur's staff in August 1969. In September, Mr. Shur acquired 10 female analysts (GS-7 level) who are college graduates with majors in criminology, sociology or language. These analysts are inputting information collected by Strike Forces in Philadelphia and Newark into computer language. (A Strike Force is an investigative team headed by five Justice Department lawyers which sets up headquarters in major cities and brings together investigators from Federal and local government for a concerted effort against organized crime. Mr. Shur noted that the FBI has started cooperating with the Strike Forces only in the past year). The method of data input is novel. The 10 analysts dictate key phrases from investigative reports onto dictaphone belts. Three typists transcribe the tapes on IBM Magnetic Tape Selectric Typewriters (MTST) and mail the analysts an edit copy, which they correct. Final corrections are then made on the MTST cartridges and the tapes are then loaded to the computer system. (Undersigned had previously advised Mr. Shur that the Chicago Police had tried a similar system for transcribing crime reports phoned in by policemen, but it had broken down because of backlogs and sloppy transcription). Justice was finding the MTST tapes difficult

SECRET



F2

to correct and more MTST's were needed to keep up with the tapes produced by the analysts. Mr. Bender noted that the CS uses keypunch, paper tape and, to a limited degree, optical character reader for input to our machine systems. He noted that information put into our General Information Collation System is keypunched and 100% verified and we will continue to use keypunch until newer methods are tested and proven. Mr. Reynolds described our plans to test the Viatron input device, a low-cost keyboard device which records data on magnetic tape cassettes and provides a television-like display of the data being entered. Messrs. Shur and Stafiej said they would be very interested in our evaluation of the Viatron.

3. The Justice Department system called "Organized Crime Retrieval Language - OCRLA" can be compared to our Rapid Search Machine, except that it is not rapid, since it is being run on a small IBM 360/30 computer which is not geared to searching free text. As a result, Stafiej is planning a second computer system which will format the information in OCRLA so that it can be searched on index sequential disk files rather than searching end to end as at present. Under the present system, the analysts who input data select significant phrases, then apply code numbers which tag an entry as belonging to one or more of 31 categories of information. Thus "travel" is 15, "investigation" is 27. The analysts must learn the 31 numeric categories and dictate the appropriate numbers after each entry, which seems more cumbersome than filling out an input sheet. Another way in which OCRLA resembles the Rapid Search Machine is that the answers retrieved by the machine are unformatted strings of phrases and not very readable. There are also false retrievals. For example, a search for a cook produced a man named Cook and also Cook County. This is another reason why Stafiej is working on a formatted file. It was noted that names are input differently to the two Justice systems - Francis Scott Key in OCRLA would appear as Key Francis Scott in the formatted file. Another problem noted in OCRLA was that some entries on an individual carry the system identification number (OCR number) for that person, while others do not. Only a search on name will pull these records together, but the lack of uniformity in name handling does not promise much from such search. The use of Social Security number as an identifier was discussed, and Mr. Bender said we would forward a paper on the subject which was circulated at the CIA Seminar on Automation of Name Tracing held in Rosslyn in the summer of 1968.


4. Undersigned said that it appeared that Justice was developing two pilot computer systems - one free text and one formatted - which will manipulate the same data. He noted that our GICS system combines formatted data fields for quick retrieval with free text remarks lines for additional information and readability. Although we have over 40 data fields in our system, experience over the past 7 years has shown that most special searches use basic parameters of time, place and nationality.

~~SECRET~~

25X1 5. Recording of computer output on microfilm (COM) was discussed, and Mr. Shur noted that Dr. Robert Callati of NYSIIS (N.Y. State Identification and Intelligence System) had made inquiries about this technology. Mr. Shur said that the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration was considering a feasibility study (at a cost of several hundred thousand dollars) on the use of computer output to microfilm in law enforcement. We noted that we have used COM with great success for years, and have had our own Stromberg Datagraphic microfilm recorder since 1968. [] said we would be glad to brief Dr. Gallati on our experience with COM if Mr. Shur or LEAA invites him to Washington.

6. Mr. Shur described his problems in getting anyone in Justice to recognize the problem of security. Only recently was he able to move his file cabinets from a public hallway into a room - but he couldn't get a metal door to the room. There is no guard at the main entrance, and money has been stolen from his offices. He has managed to have a sign posted on the hall door restricting entrance to authorized people - but there is no one to enforce the rule. His machine programs are run in a nearby building, in an area on the ground floor, separated from the street only by large expanses of glass. Even so, Mr. Shur said that his colleagues could not understand why he refused to leave his materials there overnight. He has insisted that all people involved in the program be cleared for Top Secret, but they are taken on board right away, with clearances to follow in about four months. Mr. Shur felt that a major theft of material would be required to wake up the Department to the problem. (Despite Mr. Shur's protests, his own office was hardly a model of security - the printout on organized crime was kept in a closet, and the wastebasket was full of machine runs.) (This paragraph written by CI/MRO, []) 25X1

7. The OCRLA system development seems to be based on a trial and error approach, with a parallel formatted file system evolving to fill in gaps left by the free text system. Mr. Shur said that his 10 analysts could not possibly cope with the data from 20 Strike Forces plus conversion of 300,000 index cards. There is also a bottleneck in typing the data for entry to the computer, and inadequate computer time. Since Justice has chosen to go the NSA route, we can only provide advice when requested, but their prospects of success look bleak.



EXO/DDP/SG

cc: 1 - EA/DDP
2 - CI/MRO

~~SECRET~~

F4

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

☐ INTERNAL USE ONLY

☐ CONFIDENTIAL

☒ SECRET

Approved For Release 2004/08/31 : CIA-RDP72-00310R000200120022-9

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

op-863

SUBJECT: (Optional)

OGC SUBJECT: LIAISON-GOVERNMENTAL

FROM:

DP/OG
1-H-5113
270/5

EXTENSION

NO.

DATE

17 April 1970

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1.

Chief, OPSER
3 C 29

20 APR 1970

20 APR 1970

2.

3.

OGC (Mr. Warner)
7 D 01

21/70

21/70

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

John This is very interesting & somewhat discouraging. Have you talked to [redacted] or [redacted] about our appreciation for keeping us informed? F/H

25X1

25X1

25X1

F1

FORM 3-62

610

USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS



SECRET



CONFIDENTIAL



INTERNAL USE ONLY



UNCLASSIFIED